

## Towards Universal Coefficient theorems

### 1. TENSOR PRODUCTS AND HOMS

This is a nice place to introduce adjoint functors. Let us start with an example.

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian groups. A bilinear map  $\varphi : A \times B \rightarrow C$  is a map to another abelian group  $C$  such that

- $\varphi(a + a', b) = \varphi(a, b) + \varphi(a', b)$ , and
- $\varphi(a, b + b') = \varphi(a, b) + \varphi(a, b')$ .

The tensor product of  $A$  and  $B$  is a bilinear map  $\psi : A \times B \rightarrow T$  such that for any other bilinear map  $\varphi : A \times B \rightarrow C$  as above, we have a unique map  $\alpha : T \rightarrow C$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times B & \xrightarrow{\psi} & T \\
 & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \alpha \\
 & & C
 \end{array}$$

commutative.

I'll assume that you know why tensor products exist. Consider a short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow A' \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} A'' \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then for any abelian group  $B$  we have an exact sequence

$$A' \otimes B \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}_B} A \otimes B \xrightarrow{g \otimes \text{id}_B} A'' \otimes B \longrightarrow 0$$

Now recall that giving a bilinear map  $A \times B \rightarrow C$  is equivalent to giving a group homomorphism from  $A \rightarrow \text{hom}(B, C)$ .

$$\varphi : A \times B \rightarrow C \longmapsto \alpha : a \mapsto \varphi(a, -) \in \text{hom}(A, \text{hom}(B, C))$$

$$a, b \mapsto \alpha(a)b \in \text{hom}(A \times B, C) \longleftarrow \alpha : A \rightarrow \text{hom}(B, C)$$

Also by the definition of tensor product, giving a bilinear map  $A \times B \rightarrow C$  is equivalent to giving a group homomorphism  $A \otimes B \rightarrow C$ . In particular, we get that

$$\text{hom}(A \otimes B, C) \cong \text{hom}(A, \text{hom}(B, C)).$$

Consider the covariant functors

$$\begin{aligned}
 F = \_ \otimes B &: \mathbf{AbelianGroups} \rightarrow \mathbf{AbelianGroups} \\
 G = \text{hom}(B, \_) &: \mathbf{AbelianGroups} \rightarrow \mathbf{AbelianGroups}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then rewriting the above equation we get that

$$\text{hom}(F(A), C) \cong \text{hom}(A, G(C))$$

and this isomorphism is “functorial” in the sense it “behaves well” with morphisms. (Draw the relevant diagrams on the board.)

We shall give a name to this phenomenon

**Definition 2.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories. And suppose  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be two functors. Then  $F$  is said to be the *left adjoint* of  $G$  if for all objects  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(A), B) = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, G(B)).$$

Here as before functorial means that for morphisms  $A \rightarrow A'$  and  $B \rightarrow B'$  the induced (following) diagrams of maps commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(A'), B) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A', G(B)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(A), B) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, G(B)) \\ \\ \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(A), B) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, G(B)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(A), B') & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, G(B')). \end{array}$$

In this case  $G$  is said to be the right adjoint of  $F$ .

*Remark 3.* Here the *left* in left adjoint stands for the fact that  $F$  appears in the left inside the homomorphism. Similarly for right.

Thus what we saw above was that  $-\otimes B$  is the left adjoint to  $\text{hom}(B, -)$  for all abelian groups  $B$ .

Now we list some facts about tensor products. You can do them as exercises.

- (1)  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes B \cong B$ .
- (2) Tensor product  $-\otimes B$  is right exact.<sup>1</sup> Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 0$$

and tensor it with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  to show that the result sequence is not exact on the left.

- (3)  $\text{hom}(-, B)$  is left exact. Give an example where it fails to be right exact.
- (4)  $(\oplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) \otimes B \cong \oplus_{\alpha} (A_{\alpha} \otimes B)$ .
- (5) Suppose

$$0 \longrightarrow A' \xrightarrow{f} A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} A'' \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence which is split, that is, has a map  $s$  as above such that  $g \circ s = \text{id}_{A''}$ . Show that for any  $B$ ,  $\text{hom}(-, B)$  applied to this sequence is exact.

---

<sup>1</sup>A functor  $F$  is right exact if for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ ,  $F(A') \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow F(A'') \rightarrow 0$ . A functor  $G$  is left exact if for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow 0$   $0 \rightarrow G(A') \rightarrow G(A'') \rightarrow G(A'')$  is exact. A functor is said to be exact if it is both left and right exact.

## 2. OPERATIONS ON CHAINS

Let  $C = (C_*, \partial)$  be a chain complex. Consider an abelian group  $G$ . Then  $C \otimes G := (D_*, \partial \otimes \text{id}_G)$  where  $D_i = C_i \otimes G$  is also a chain complex, since  $(\partial \otimes \text{id}_G) \circ (\partial \otimes \text{id}_G) \sum_i a_i \otimes g_i = \sum_i \partial(\partial(a_i)) \otimes g_i = \sum_i 0 \otimes g_i = 0$ . On the other hand, as we did before,  $\text{hom}(C, G) := (E^*, \delta)$  where  $E^i = \text{hom}(C_i, G)$  and  $\delta$  is defined by  $\alpha \in \text{hom}(C_i, G) \mapsto \alpha \circ \partial : \text{hom}(C_{i+1}, G)$ . Here the index of  $E^i$  is written as a superscript to emphasize the fact that here the (coboundary) map goes from  $E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$ . It is again easy to see that  $\delta \circ \delta = 0$

Now consider the category  $\mathcal{C}\text{hainComplex}$  of chain complexes of abelian groups. In the definition of chain complexes the boundary maps go from  $E_i \rightarrow E_{i-1}$ . The category of cochain complexes  $\mathcal{C}\text{ochainComplex}$  consists of complexes where the maps go from  $E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$ . Now we claim that tensor induces a map  $-\otimes G : \mathcal{C}\text{hainComplex} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{hainComplex}$  and  $\text{hom}(-, G) : \mathcal{C}\text{hainComplex} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{ochainComplex}$ .

We already know where the objects go. Now let  $f : (C_*, \partial) \rightarrow (D_*, \partial_D)$  Consider  $-\otimes G$  first. We would get a diagram like

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes \text{id}_G} & C_{i-1} \otimes G & \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes \text{id}_G} & C_i \otimes G & \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes \text{id}_G} & C_{i+1} \otimes G \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes \text{id}_G} \cdots \\ & & \downarrow f \otimes \text{id}_G & & \downarrow f \otimes \text{id}_G & & \downarrow f \otimes \text{id}_G \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_D \otimes \text{id}_G} & D_{i-1} \otimes G & \xrightarrow{\partial_D \otimes \text{id}_G} & D_i \otimes G & \xrightarrow{\partial_D \otimes \text{id}_G} & D_{i+1} \otimes G \xrightarrow{\partial_D \otimes \text{id}_G} \cdots \end{array}$$

Check that all the squares in the above diagram are commutative. Thus the functor  $-\otimes G$  is covariant.

$\text{hom}(-, G)$  is contravariant. For the same  $f$  as above,  $f^* := \text{hom}(f, G) : \text{hom}(D, G) \rightarrow \text{hom}(C, G)$  where  $f^*(\beta) = \beta \circ f \in \text{hom}(C_i, G)$  for all  $\beta \in \text{hom}(D_i, G)$ .

*Exercise 4.* Draw a diagram like above for the case of  $\text{hom}(-, G)$  and prove that all the square commute and hence  $\text{hom}(f, G)$  is a morphism of cochains.

## 3. SINGULAR HOMOLOGY AND COHOMOLOGY WITH COEFFICIENTS IN A GROUP

Consider a chain complex  $(C_*, \partial)$ . We define

$$H_i(C_*, \partial) = \frac{\ker(\partial : C_i \rightarrow C_{i-1})}{\text{im}(\partial : C_{i+1} \rightarrow C_i)}$$

and for a cochain complex  $(E^*, \delta)$ , one defines the hypercohomology

$$H^i(E^*, \delta) = \frac{\ker(\delta : E^{i-1} \rightarrow E^i)}{\text{im}(\delta : E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1})}$$

*Example 5* (Singular homology). For a topological space  $X$ , consider the chain complex  $(C_*, \partial)$  where  $C_i = \Delta_i(X)$  and  $\partial$  are given by linear combination of the face maps. In this case  $H_*(C_*, \partial)$  is nothing but the homology groups. The homology groups with coefficients in  $G$  are nothing but  $H_*(C \otimes G)$ .

As before, define  $\Delta^i(X; G)$  as before to be to be group  $\text{hom}(\Delta_i(X), G)$ .

**Definition 6.** Define the singular cohomology  $H^*(X; G)$  of  $X$  with coefficients in  $G$  to be the hypercohomology groups  $H^*(\text{hom}((C_*, \partial), G))$  where  $C$  is as in the example.