

Pullback of forms, Integration, Stokes' theorem

1. PROPERTIES OF PULL BACK OF FORMS

Proposition 1. *If $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ and $\Psi : N \rightarrow P$ are smooth mappings of C^∞ manifolds, then*

- (1) $(\Psi \circ \Phi)^* = \Phi^* \circ \Psi^*$;
- (2) $\Phi^*(\omega \wedge \eta) = \Phi^*(\omega) \wedge \Phi^*(\eta)$;
- (3) $\Phi^*(f dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_p) = (f \circ \Phi) d(y_1 \circ \Phi) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(y_p \circ \Phi)$; and
- (4) $\Phi^*(d\omega) = d(\Phi^*\omega)$.

Proof. We shall do them in order.

- (1) Let ω be any p -form on P . Let X_1, \dots, X_p be a collection of vector fields on M . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi \circ \Phi)^* \omega(X_1, \dots, X_p) &= \omega((\Psi \circ \Phi)_* X_1, \dots, (\Psi \circ \Phi)_* X_p) \\ &= \omega(\Psi_* \Phi_* X_1, \dots, \Psi_* \Phi_* X_p) = \Psi^* \omega(\Phi_* X_1, \dots, \Phi_* X_p) \\ &= (\Phi^* \circ \Psi^*) \omega(X_1, \dots, X_p). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Proved similarly. *Exercise.*

- (3) Note that $\Phi^*(f) = f \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi^*(df)X = df(\Phi_* X) = (\Phi_* X)f = X(f \circ \Phi) = d(f \circ \Phi)X$ proving that $\Phi^* df = d(f \circ \Phi)$.

Thus $\Phi^*(f dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_p) = \Phi^*(f) \Phi^* dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Phi^* dy_p = (f \circ \Phi) d(y_1 \circ \Phi) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(y_p \circ \Phi)$.

- (4) Now the rest is easy. On (U, φ_U) with local coordinates x_1, \dots, x_m , suppose $\omega|_U = \sum_{i=1}^m f_{i_1, \dots, i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^*(d\omega))|_U &= \Phi^*(d\omega|_U) = \Phi^* d \left(\sum_{i=1}^m f_{i_1, \dots, i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p} \right) \\ &= \Phi^* \sum_{i=1}^m df_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \Phi^* df_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \wedge \Phi^* dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Phi^* dx_{i_p} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m d(f_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \circ \Phi) \wedge d(x_{i_1} \circ \Phi) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(x_{i_p} \circ \Phi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m d((f_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \circ \Phi) \wedge d(x_{i_1} \circ \Phi) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(x_{i_p} \circ \Phi)) \\ &= d \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (f_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \circ \Phi) \wedge d(x_{i_1} \circ \Phi) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(x_{i_p} \circ \Phi) \right) \\ &= d(\Phi^*\omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the above theorem says that we have a commutative diagram of the following form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{k-1}(N) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^k(N) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{k+1}(N) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \\ & & \downarrow \Phi^* & & \downarrow \Phi^* & & \downarrow \Phi^* \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{k-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^k(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^{k+1}(M) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \end{array}$$

and hence by standard homological algebra arguments we get an induced map

$$\frac{\ker d : \Omega^k(N) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(N)}{\operatorname{im} d : \Omega^{k-1}(N) \rightarrow \Omega^k(N)} \rightarrow \frac{\ker d : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)}{\operatorname{im} d : \Omega^{k-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M)}$$

define $\Phi^* : H_{\Omega}^{\bullet}(N) \rightarrow H_{\Omega}^{\bullet}(M)$.

Φ^* is a ring homomorphism $H_{\Omega}^{\bullet}(N) \rightarrow H_{\Omega}^{\bullet}(M)$. Thus deRham cohomology could be thought of as a *contravariant* functor from the category of C^{∞} manifolds to the category of *graded-commutative* \mathbb{R} -algebras.

2. PARTITION OF UNITY

In our next lecture we shall use the following fact.

Suppose M is a manifold. Then there exists a collection of C^{∞}

- functions $f_{\alpha} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
- a collection U_{β} of open sets such that $\{U_{\beta}\}$ form a locally finite covering of M with each U_{β} being a domain of a coordinate chart

such that

- (1) $f_{\alpha} \geq 0$;
- (2) $\operatorname{supp}(f_{\alpha}) \subset U_{\beta}$ for some U_{β} in the locally finite covering; and
- (3) $\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} = 1$.